



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,321	06/09/2006	Ingwer Carlsen	2003P00916WOUS	5336
24737	7590	07/27/2011		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS				
P.O. BOX 3001				
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510				
EXAMINER				
ZARKA, DAVID PETER				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2624				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/27/2011		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com

debbie.henn@philips.com

marianne.fox@philips.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/596,321		CARLSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DAVID ZARKA		2624	

All Participants:

(1) DAVID ZARKA (primary examiner).

(2) MICHAEL MARCIN (Reg. No. 48,198).

Date of Interview: 20 July 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, first paragraph; 102(b); 103(a)

Claims discussed:

1,7-10

Prior art documents discussed:

U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0048955 ("Pardas"); U.S. Pat. No. 5,982,909 ("Erdem")

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/DAVID ZARKA/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed amending "first image " to "floating image", and "second image" to "reference image" to remove the 112, first paragraph rejection. Examiner understands figs. 3-6 is one image from either the reference or floating image. The language "first" and "second" image is broad enough such that figs. 3-6 are separate images. Examiner also indicated that in light of the above mentioned claim changes, Claim 10 would be indicated allowable subject matter strictly with respect to both Pardas and Erdem..